

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,971	06/14/2005	Gerhard Heitze	HM-638PCT	9486
40570 FRIEDRICH I	7590 04/04/200 CHEFENER	EXAMINER		
317 MADISO	N AVENUE, SUITE 91	0	DONDERO, WILLIAM E	WILLIAM E
NEW YORK,	NY 10017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/538,971	HEITZE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
WILLIAM E. DONDERO	3654		

	WILLIAM E. DONDERO	3654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
	t prior to the data of films a brief						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better application in better application in better application in the second s	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially red	E below); lucing or simplifying th					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		npliant Amendment (I	OL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims vould be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	door NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	no honouno:				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (condition for allowant	de because.				
13. Other:							
/Peter M. Cuomo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3654							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to Applicant's arguments starting on page 3, line 12 to page 5, line 12, Applicant rayuse Rockstrom et at. has a different construction and operation that the outer surface of the troughed roller of Rockstrom et al. is pushed outwards rather than towards the axis of the roller in respect by the surface of the troughed roller of Rockstrom et al. is pushed outwards rather than towards the axis of the roller in respect to the weight of the web. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and points to Figure 7 of Rockstrom et al., and its description throughout pescelication in which the outer surface of the troughed roller is compressed toward the axis in response to the web. With respect to Applicant's arguments starting on page 5, line 13 to page 6, line 17, Applicant raques Cohn is not analogous because Cohn discoses an expanier mardre. Examiner respectfully disagrees in that Cohn is used to show using disk springs is known to solve the problem of refiliently supporting a structure in an elastic manner and therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art af when the other of the mention to substitute Cohns disk springs for the resiliently supporting the outer collor of the troubled roller.